



Conditions Distribution

By toolie, December 7, 2013 in Tecmo Super Bowl - NES

[Follow](#)

0

[Start new topic](#)[Reply to this topic](#)

toolie

Tecmo Legend



Mods: HSRL

+ 2,808

4,263 posts

Location: Michigan

The Boogedy Man Walked All Over Me!

Posted December 7, 2013

[Report post](#)

So I'm a math guy, and I was interested in the problem of what the distribution of conditions would approach as the season goes on. Currently, with two games to play in week 15 of WTF: 2.0 (which tracks conditions), the distribution of conditions is this...

600 total players (20 teams, 30 players per team).

Bad (🙄): 123 (20.5%)

Average (A): 215 (35.8%)

Good (G): 184 (30.7%)

Excellent (E): 68 (11.3%)

Injured (I): 10 (1.7%)

From the forums, I read (via bruddog) that the chances of changing conditions goes like this:

B: 25% stay in bad, 75% move to average 0% move to good, 0% move to excellent

A: 25% move to bad, 50% stay in average, 25% move to good, 0% move to excellent

G: 0% move to bad, 25% move to average, 50% stay in good, 25% move to excellent

E: 0% move to bad, 0% move to average, 75% move to good, 25% move to average

To model the flow of conditions, I used a very simple model that neglects injuries. The flow can be modeled using a 4x4 matrix T and a 4x1 conditions vector v , where the columns of the matrix T contain numbers based on the above percentages that sum to one, and the conditions vector v holds the number of players in each condition. At the start of the season, the conditions vector $v = [0, 600, 0, 0]$ since everyone is in A. I'm writing this as a row vector for convenience, but for the matrix multiplication it needs to be a column vector.

After each quarter, we perform the multiplication $T*v$, which produces the theoretical distribution of players

after conditions change. After one quarter, $v = [150, 300, 150, 0]$. After two quarters, $v = [112.5, 300, 150, 37.5]$. After three quarters (and hence the first game, since conditions don't change after the 4th quarter) $v = [103.125, 271.875, 178.125, 46.875]$. So we have fractions of players in each state, which seems silly, but that's just a slight downside of the modeling process.

After six games of this iterative process (just taking the output vector and hitting it with the matrix T 18 times) the distribution has settled in to be roughly $v = [75.09, 225.16, 224.84, 74.91]$. So it looks like the steady-state distribution of players would be $v = [75, 225, 225, 75]$. This is the case for this model. If we hit that vector v with T the output will not change. We can also show this using some matrix algebra, but we'll skip that for now.

So the steady-state percentages for the distribution in this model are 12.5%, 37.5%, 37.5%, and 12.5% ($1/8, 3/8, 3/8, 1/8$). Our actual percentages are currently 20.5%, 35.8%, 30.7%, 11.3% (with 1.7% injured). After 15 weeks the system has had a lot of time to approach a steady-state distribution, if there is one, so injuries seem to make a significant impact on the numbers, or the system is just currently in a state of bias towards bad conditions.

Improving the model to incorporate injuries seems doable enough, but there will be MANY more states due to the fact that you can't return from injury after every quarter. There is

probably a way to incorporate injuries in an average sense, which would reduce the number of states (and hence the size of the matrix and vector) but I'd have to think about how to do that a bit more.

One way to eliminate injuries is by taking out the players that can get injured (QB,RB,WR,TE). That leaves 18 players per team (360 total). The current distributions there are

B: 20.3%

A: 35.3%

G: 32.5%

E: 12.0%

Pretty similar to the numbers with everyone involved, but not too far from the steady-state values. This season it looks like these non-injury-prone players as a whole have had slightly bad luck.

+ Quote

 4



vikingmoe02, buck, manYo and 1 other reacted to this



HSTL Three-Peat: Seasons 25, 26, & 27



HSRL 1970 Champion

[Play through adversity...](#)

bruddog

Down with button mashing



Moderators

Posted December 11, 2014

Report post 

On 12/7/2013 at 5:11 PM, toolie said:

So I'm a math guy, and I was interested in the problem of what the distribution of conditions would approach as the season goes on. Currently, with two games to play in week 15 of WTF: 2.0 (which tracks conditions), the distribution of conditions is this...

600 total players (20 teams, 30 players per team).

Bad (🙄): 123 (20.5%)
Average (A): 215 (35.8%)
Good (G): 184 (30.7%)
Excellent (E): 68 (11.3%)
Injured (I): 10 (1.7%)

From the forums, I read (via bruddog) that the chances of changing conditions goes like this:

B: 25% stay in bad, 75% move to average 0% move to good, 0% move to excellent
A: 25% move to bad, 50% stay in average, 25% move to good, 0% move to excellent
G: 0% move to bad, 25% move to average, 50% stay in good, 25% move to excellent
E: 0% move to bad, 0% move to average, 75% move to good, 25% move to average

To model the flow of conditions, I used a very simple model that neglects injuries. The flow can be modeled using a 4x4 matrix T and a 4x1 conditions vector v , where the columns of the matrix T contain numbers based on the above percentages that sum to one, and the conditions vector v holds the number of players in each condition. At the start of the season, the conditions vector $v = [0, 600, 0, 0]$ since everyone is in A. I'm writing this as a row vector for convenience, but for the matrix multiplication it needs to be a column vector.

After each quarter, we perform the multiplication $T*v$, which produces the theoretical distribution of players after conditions change. After one quarter, $v = [150, 300, 150, 0]$. After two quarters, $v = [112.5, 300, 150, 37.5]$. After three quarters (and hence the first game, since conditions don't change after the 4th quarter) $v = [103.125, 271.875, 178.125, 46.875]$. So we have fractions of players in each state, which seems silly, but that's just a slight downside of the modeling process.

After six games of this iterative process (just taking the output vector and hitting it with the matrix T 18 times) the distribution has settled in to be roughly $v = [75.09, 225.16, 224.84, 74.91]$. So it looks like the steady-state distribution of players would be $v = [75, 225, 225, 75]$. This is the case for this model. If we hit that vector v with T the output will not change. We can also show this using some matrix algebra, but we'll skip that for now.

So the steady-state percentages for the distribution in this model are 12.5%, 37.5%, 37.5%, and 12.5% ($1/8, 3/8, 3/8, 1/8$). Our actual percentages are currently 20.5%, 35.8%, 30.7%, 11.3% (with 1.7% injured). After 15 weeks the system has had a lot of time to approach a steady-state distribution, if there is one, so injuries seem to make a significant impact on the numbers, or the system is just currently in a state of bias towards bad conditions.

Improving the model to incorporate injuries seems doable enough, but there will be MANY more states due to the fact that you can't return from injury after every quarter. There is probably a way to incorporate injuries in an average sense, which would

reduce the number of states (and hence the size of the matrix and vector) but I'd have to think about how to do that a bit more.

One way to eliminate injuries is by taking out the players that can get injured (QB, RB, WR, TE). That leaves 18 players per team (360 total). The current distributions there are

- B: 20.3%
- A: 35.3%
- G: 32.5%
- E: 12.0%

Pretty similar to the numbers with everyone involved, but not too far from the steady-state values. This season it looks like these non-injury-prone players as a whole have had slightly bad luck.

I

was wrong I finally figured out the condition code routine

- B: 75% stay in bad, 25% move to average 0% move to good, 0% move to excellent
- A: 12.5% move to bad, 75% stay in average, 12.5% move to good, 0% move to excellent
- G: 0% move to bad, 12.5% move to average, 75% stay in good, 12.5% move to excellent
- E: 0% move to bad, 0% move to average, 25% move to good, 75% stay in excellent



Quote



5



vikingmoe02, toolie, kamphuna8 and 2 others reacted to this

brookstonfowler

phile guru



Members

+ 930

7,743 posts

Location: zionsville, in

Tecmo Titles: 3

I feel pretty free.

Posted December 11, 2014

Report post

wow. that makes more sense of why guys stay in Exc for a few games at a time. i'm sure you guessed my next question. is there a way to tweak the %'s?



Quote



WTF champs: 1993, 2011, 2015

Retro champs: 1975

[animated championship gifs](#) 😄

[Rick & Roll](#)

bruddog

Down with button mashing



Posted December 11, 2014

Report post

Ya i made a simulation in excel and you can see guys stay in conditions for a long period of time.


```

CMP #$00 ; PLAYER IN BAD?

BEQ @Loop3 ; YES-> JUMP to LOAD 1

CMP #$03 ; PLAYER IN EXCELLENT?

BEQ @Loop4 ; YES->PLAYER IN EXCELLENT

JSR update_random_3B ; ELSE IF PLAYER IN AVG,

AND #$02 ;

SEC ;

SBC #$01 ;

JMP @Loop5 ; JUMP TO CURRENT CONDITION

@Loop3: ; LOAD 1

LDA #$01

JMP @Loop5 ;JUMP TO CURRENT CONDITION

```

```

@Loop4: ; LOAD -1

LDA #$FF

@Loop5: ; CURRENT CONDITION + VALUE

CLC

ADC $45

@Loop6: ; GET NEW CONDITION BACK

STA $45 ;

LDA #$FC ;

STA $43 ;

```

```

LDA $44 ;
EOR #$FF ;
AND #$03 ;
BEQ @Loop8 ;
TAX ;
@Loop7: ;
ASL $45 ;
ASL $45 ;
SEC ;
ROL $43 ;
ROL $43 ;
DEX ;
BNE @Loop7 ;
@Loop8:
LDA $44 ;
LSR ;
LSR ;
TAY ;
BIT $70 ; PLAYER 1 or 2?
BMI @Loop9 ; PLAYER 2-> BRANCH TO S
LDA $6503,Y ; SAVE NEW CONDITION FOR
AND $43 ;
ORA $45 ;
STA $6503,Y ;

```



```

JMP @Loop10 ; JUMP TO INCREMENT PLAY

@Loop9: ; SAVE NEW CONDITION FOR

LDA $6608,Y ;

AND $43 ;

ORA $45 ;

STA $6608,Y ;

@Loop10: ; INCREMENT PLAYER AND C

INC $44 ; increment to next play

LDA $44 ;

CMP #$1E ; ALL PLAYERS DONE?

BCC @Loop2 ; NO->BRANCH to CONDITIO

LDA $70 ; Player 2 TEAM done?

BMI @Loop11 ; YES->BRANCH to RESTORE

LDA #$FF ; NO->SET Possession to

STA $70 ;

JMP @Loop1 ; JUMP TO CONDITION LOOP

@Loop11: ; RESTORE POSSESSION BY1

LDA $42 ;

STA $70 ;

LDA #$C0 ; SET SRAM to NOT WRITEA

STA PRG_RAM_PROTECT ;

RTS ; RETURN

```



toolie

Tecmo Legend



Mods: HSRL

+ 2,808

4,263 posts

Location: Michigan

The Boogedy Man Walked All Over Me!

Posted December 11, 2014 (edited)

Report post

So I redid the matrix with the new transition probabilities. After 6 games (3 condition changes per game) the actual conditions of non-injury prone players was

B: 20.3%

A: 35.3%

G: 32.5%

E: 12.0%

And the computation $(T^{18})([0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0])'$ yields

B: 18.2%

A: 34.8%

G: 31.8%

E: 15.2%

Pretty close to the actual distribution. Steady-state values for each condition (as t goes to infinity) given these probabilities are

B: 16.7% (1/6)

A: 33.3% (1/3)

G: 33.3% (1/3)

E: 16.7% (1/6)

Edited December 11, 2014 by toolie

+ Quote

↑ 1

bruddog reacted to this



HSTL Three-Peat: Seasons 25, 26, & 27



HSRL 1970 Champion

[Play through adversity...](#)

bruddog

Down with button mashing



Moderators

+ 3,074

11,466 posts

Location: Ca

Posted December 11, 2014

Report post

Brookston, yes with the current model its possible for the conditions to stay at the same place for a very long time....Here is a spreadsheet tool to see the possibilities for players with a nifty graph included (kinda like the one for wtf except only one season long)

Change C1 cell from 0(players never change) to (1) Players always change..

[conditions_prob.XLS](#)

+ Quote

↑ 1

brookstonfowler reacted to this



joelcsuh

Starter



Posted December 12, 2014

Report post

Does this work the same in all versions? (I know it doesn't in the Genesis version of TSB III but I don't want to derail the thread.)



Orel Hershiser
Members
+ 31
139 posts

I once had a season with the Chiefs in the NES version in which Stephone Paige was in Bad from week 2-17. Very frustrating. Never seen anything like it before or since.

+ Quote



bruddog

Down with button mashing



Moderators

+ 3,074
11,466 posts
Location: Ca

Posted December 12, 2014

Report post

This is only for the nes version.

+ Quote



segathonsov

Tecmo Legend



Members

+ 2,040

2,466 posts

Location: South of the River
R.O.Y Buring Mort 2

Posted December 23, 2014

Report post

Kind of related question. Is there a "sweet spot" where a guy goes into Good/Excellent more? Example does QB1 and QB2 change conditions the same or does the computer favor one.

From doing the conditions program sometimes it seems there is a pattern like RB1 and RB3 go into Bad at the same time.

+ Quote



bruddog

Down with button mashing



Posted December 23, 2014

Report post

There might be patterns because of the way the random number generation works but all of the positions have an equal chance.

+ Quote



segathonsov reacted to this





Moderators

+ 3,074

11,466 posts

Location: Ca

BO FB Offtackle Left

Tecmo Legend



Members

+ 253

3,135 posts

Location: New York

Posted January 7, 2015

Report post

On 12/11/2014 at 6:26 PM, brookstonfowler said:

wow. that makes more sense of why guys stay in Exc for a few games at a time. i'm sure you guessed my next question. is there a way to tweak the %'s?

Was it answered if there was a way to change the percentages? I might have missed it. If I could do whatever I wanted, I would do this:

B: 25% stay in Bad, 75% move to Avg

A: 12.5% move to Bad, 75% stay in Avg, 12.5% move to Good

G: 75% move to Avg, 12.5% stay in Good, 12.5% move to Exc

E: 75% move to Good, 25% stay in Exc

+ Quote



"There's nothing wrong with reading the game plan by the light of a jukebox."

- Kenny Stabler

bruddog

Down with button mashing



Moderators

+ 3,074

11,466 posts

Location: Ca

Posted January 7, 2015

Report post

the way Conditions are done could be re-coded to just about anything.

+ Quote



toolie

Tecmo Legend



Mods: HSRL

+ 2,808

4,263 posts

Location: Michigan

The Boogedy Man Walked All Over Me!

Posted January 7, 2015

Report post

On 1/7/2015 at 4:04 PM, BO FB Offtackle Left said:

Was it answered if there was a way to change the percentages? I might have missed it. If I could do whatever I wanted, I would do this:

B: 25% stay in Bad, 75% move to Avg

A: 12.5% move to Bad, 75% stay in Avg, 12.5% move to Good

G: 75% move to Avg, 12.5% stay in Good, 12.5% move to Exc

E: 75% move to Good, 25% stay in Exc

With these probabilities, the long-term distribution of conditions would be roughly

Bad: 12%

Average: 74%

Good: 12%

Excellent: 2%

+ Quote



1



BO FB Offtackle Left reacted to this



HSTL Three-Peat: Seasons 25, 26, & 27



HSRL 1970 Champion

[Play through adversity...](#)

bruddog

Down with button mashing



Moderators

+ 3,074

11,466 posts

Location: Ca

Posted January 7, 2015

Report post

I'd probably recode it to a normal distribution (13.5, 68, 13.5, 5) which is pretty close to what BO did. And also make it so that you can change into any condition at any time and have players start off with conditions in the first quarter.

+ Quote



Reply to this topic...



[← GO TO TOPIC LISTING](#)

RECENTLY BROWSING 1 MEMBER

[SBlueman](#)

[Home](#) > [Forums](#) > [Tecmo Super Bowl](#) > [Tecmo Super Bowl - NES](#) > [Conditions Distribution](#)

[Mark site read](#) 

[Theme](#) ▼ [Contact Us](#)

[TecmoBowl.org](#)

Powered by [Invision Community](#)